

Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

# Stretching Devices for the Treatment of Joint Stiffness and Contracture

### Description

Joint stiffness or contracture may be caused by immobilization following an injury, disease or surgery. Joint contracture is characterized by persistently reduced range of motion as a result of structural changes in muscles, tendons, ligaments, and skin. This decrease in joint mobility occurs when elastic connective tissue is replaced with inelastic fibrous material, resulting in tissue that is resistant to stretching.

Dynamic (spring loaded dynamic, low-load prolonged-duration stretch [LLPS]) splints, bidirectional static progressive stretch [SP] splints and patient-actuated serial stretch [PASS]) devices are intended to stretch joints that have reduced range of motion secondary to immobilization, surgery, contracture, fracture, dislocation, or several additional non-traumatic disorders. These devices are intended to replace or reduce the number of physical therapistdirected sessions by providing frequent and controlled joint mobilization in a hospital or in the patient's home. The goal is to cause permanent elongation of the connective tissue to increase range of motion. Mechanical stretching devices are not motorized and may be prefabricated or custom fabricated.

See below for more detailed descriptions of the devices.

### **Policy**

**NOTE**: Currently, VCHCP includes only LLPS devices for consideration.

VCHCP considers dynamic (low-load prolonged-duration stretch [LLPS]) devices **Medically Necessary** for use on the knee, elbow, wrist or finger in any of the following clinical settings:

- As an addition to physical therapy in the sub-acute injury or post-operative period (> 3
  weeks but < 4 months after injury or operation) in patients with signs and symptoms of
  persistent joint stiffness; OR</li>
- 2. In the subacute injury or post-operative period (≥ 3 weeks but ≤ 4 months after injury or operation) in an individual (a) whose limited range of motion poses a meaningful (as judged by the physician) functional limitation, AND (b) who has not responded to other therapy (including physical therapy); OR
- 3. In the acute post-operative period for patients who are undergoing additional surgery to improve the range of motion of a previously affected joint; OR



Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

4. For patients unable to benefit from standard physical therapy modalities because of an inability to exercise. No significant change after four months period is prophylactic use in contractures and is not medically necessary.

VCHCP considers the following devices Investigational/Not Medically Necessary:

- 1. Dynamic (low-load prolonged-duration stretch [LLPS]) devices for use in the management of chronic joint stiffness and/or chronic or fixed contractures.
- 2. Bi-directional static progressive (SP) stretch devices
- 3. Patient-actuated serial stretch (PASS) devices

#### **DESCRIPTION OF DEVICES:**

#### **LLPS Devices:**

Dynamic (low-load prolonged-duration stretch [LLPS]) devices – allow resisted active and passive motion (elastic traction) within a restricted range. LLPS devices sustain a set level of tension using integrated springs. Most of these devices are adjustable tension-controlled units that provide a continuous dynamic stretch while patients are asleep or at rest. Commonly the time of use is continuously for 6 – 12 hours, which can be at night or can be two three-hour sessions during the day. Medically necessary wearing time is less than four months. Examples of LLPS devices include but are not limited to: Dynasplint System®, EMPI Advance Dynamic ROM®, and LMB Pro-Glide™.

#### **SP Devices:**

Bi-directional static progressive (SP) stretch devices - maintain the joint in a set position but permit manual modification of the joint angle and may allow for active motion without resistance (inelastic traction). Examples of this type of device include but are not limited to the Joint Active Systems (JAS) splints (e.g., JAS Elbow, JAS Shoulder, JAS Ankle, JAS Knee, JAS Wrist, and JAS Pronation-Supination)

#### **PASS Devices:**

Patient-actuated serial stretch (PASS) devices - allow resisted active and passive motion (elastic traction) within a limited range. PASS devices supply a low to high-level load to the joint using pneumatic or hydraulic systems that can be adjusted by the patient. Examples of PASS devices include the ERMI Knee Extensionater®, ERMI Elbow Extensionater®, ERMI Knee/Ankle Flexionater®, and ERMI Shoulder Flexionater®.



Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

A. Attachments: None

#### **B.** References

Peer Reviewed Publications:

- 1. Berlet GC, Andereson RB, Kiebzak GM, et al. A prospective trail of night splinting in the treatment of recalcitrant plantar fasciitis: the Ankle Dorsiflexion Dynasplint. Orthopedics 2002; 25(11):1273-5.
- 2. Blair WF, Steyers CM. Extensor tendon injuries. Orthop Clin North Am. 1992;23(1):141-148.
- 3. Bonutti PM, Windau JE, Ables BA, et al. Static progressive stretch to reestablish elbow range of motion. Clin Orthop. 1994;303:128-134.
- 4. Branch TP, Karsch RE, Mills TJ, et al. Mechanical therapy for loss of knee flexion. Am J Orthopedics. 2003; 195-200.
- 5. Brown EZ, Ribik CA. Early dynamic splinting for extensor tendon injuries. J Hand Surg. 1989;14A:72-76.
- 6. Chow JA, Dovelle S, Thomes LJ, et al. A comparison of results of extensor tendon repair followed by early controlled mobilization versus static immobilization. J Hand Surg. 1989;14B:18-20.
- 7. Chow JA, Thomes LJ, Dovelle S,, et al. Controlled motion rehabilitation after flexor tendon repair and grafting. J Bone Joint Surg. 1988;70-B(4):591-595.
- 8. Cohen EJ. Adjunctive therapy devices: Restoring ROM outside of the clinic. Phys Ther Magazine. 1995 Mar:10-13.
- 9. Egan M, Brosseau L, Farmer M, et al. Splints and Orthosis for treating rheumatoid arthritis (Cochrane Review). In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2003. Oxford, UK: Update Software.
- Halar EM, Bell KR. Contracture & other deleterious effects of immobility. In: Rehabilitation Medicine: Principles & Practice, 2nd ed, JA DeLisa (ed.), JB. Lippincott Co., 1993; Chapter 33.
- 11. Hepburn GR, Crivelli KJ. Use of elbow Dynasplint for reduction of elbow flexion contractures: A case study. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1984; 5(5):269-274.
- 12. Hepburn GR. Case Studies: Contracture and Stiff joint Management with Dynasplint. J of Orthopedic and Sports Physical Therapy 1987: 498-504.
- 13. Hung LK, Chan A, Chang J,, et al. Early controlled active mobilization with dynamic splintage for treatment of extensor tendon injuries. J Hand Surg. 1990;15A(2):251-257.
- 14. Jansen CM, Windau JE, Bonutti PM, et al. Treatment of a knee contracture using a knee orthosis incorporating stress-relaxation techniques. Phys Ther. 1996;76(2):182-186.
- 15. Kerr CD, Burczak JR. Dynamic traction after extensor tendon repair in zone 6, 7, and 8: A retrospective study. J Hand Surg. 1989;14B:21-25.
- 16. MacKay-Lyons M. Low-load, prolonged stretch in treatment of elbow flexion contractures secondary to head trauma: a case report. Physical Therapy 1989; 69(4): 292-6.
- 17. May EJ, Silfverskiold KL, Sollerman CJ,. The correlation between controlled range of motion with dynamic traction and results after flexor tendon repair in zone II. J Hand Surg. 1992;17A(6):1133-1139.



Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

- 18. McClure PW, Blackburn LG, Dusold C, The use of splints in the treatment of joint stiffness: Biologic rationale & an algorithm for making clinical decisions. Phys Ther. 1994; 74:1101-1107.
- 19. Richard RL, Jones LM, Miller SF, et al. Treatment of exposed bilateral Achilles tendons with use of the Dynasplint. A case report. Physical Therapy 1988; 68(6): 989-91.
- 20. Richard RL. Use of the Dynasplint to correct elbow flexion burn contracture: A case report. J Burn Care Rehabil. 1986;7(2):151-152.
- 21. Richard R, Shanesy CP, Miller SF. Dynamic versus static splints: a prospective case for sustained stress. J Burn Care Rehabilitation 1995; 16(3 Pt 1): 284-87.
- 22. Rives K, Gelberman R, Smith B, et al. Severe contractures of the proximal interphalangeal joint in Dupuytren's disease: Results of a prospective trial of operative correction and dynamic extension splinting. J Hand Surg. 1992;17A(6):1153-1159.
- 23. Saldana MJ, Chow JA, Gerbino P 2nd, et al. Further experience in rehabilitation of zone II flexor tendon repair with dynamic traction splinting. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1991;87(3):543-546.
- 24. Saldana MJ, Choban S, Westerbeck P, et al. Results of acute zone III extensor tendon injuries treated ith dynamic extension splinting. J Hand Surg. 1991;16A(6):1145-1150.
- 25. Steffen TM, Mollinger LA. Low-load, prolonged stretch in the treatment of knee flexion contractures in nursing home residents. Physical Therapy 1995; 75(10): 886-95.

### Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

- Hayes Medical Technology Directory. Mechanical Stretching Devices and Continuous Passive Motion for Joints of the Extremities. Winifred S. Hayes, Inc., Lansdale, PA. July 7 2005.
- Washington State Department of Labor and Industries, Office of the Medical Director. ERMI Flexionators and Extensionators. Health Technology Assessment Brief. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Updated June 6, 2003. Available at: http://eirs.websupport.lni.wa.gov/ClaimsIns/Files/OMD/ermi.pdf Accessed July 25, 2006.

### **Web Sites for Additional Information**

- 1. Dynasplint Systems, Inc. Products.. Available at: http://www.dynasplint.comAccessed July 25, 2006.
- 2. EMPI. Advance Dynamic ROM®. Available at: http://www.empi.com/products/advance.cfm Accessed July 25, 2006.
- 3. ERMI Inc. Available at: http://www.getmotion.com/index.htm Accessed August 15, 2006.
- 4. Joint Active Systems, Inc. . Available at: http://www.jointactivesystems.com. Accessed July 25, 2006.
- 5. Ultraflex Systems Inc. Available at: http://www.ultraflexsystems.com. Accessed July 25, 2006.

#### Index

Bidirectional Static Progressive Splint



Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

Dynamic Splinting
Dynasplint
ERMI
JAS
PASS
Patient-Actuated Serial Stretch (PASS) Splint
Spring Loaded Dynamic Splinting
Static Progressive Stretch Splint
Static Splint
Ultraflex

### C. History

Author/Reviewer: Sheldon Haas, MD; Date: 07/23/07

Committee Review: UM Committee August 09, 2007; QAC: August 28, 2007

Reviewed/No Updates: Faustine Dela Cruz, RN & Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 11/7/11

Committee Review: UM: November 10, 2011; QAC: November 22, 2011

Reviewed/No Updates: Faustine Dela Cruz, RN & Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 4/17/12

Committee Reviews: UM: May 10, 2012; QAC: May 22, 2012 Reviewed/No Updates: Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 1/28/13

Committee Review: UM: February 14, 2013; QAC: February 26, 2013

Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD

Committee Review: UM: February 13, 14; QAC: February 25, 2014

Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD

Committee Review: UM: February 12, 2015; QAC: February 24, 2015 Reviewed/No Updates: Faustine Dela Cruz, RN & Catherine Sanders, MD Committee Review: UM: February 11, 2016; QAC: February 23, 2016 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 9, 2017; QAC: February 28, 2017 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 8, 2018; QAC: February 27, 2018 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 14, 2019; QAC: February 26, 2019 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 13, 2020; OAC: February 25, 2020 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 11, 2021; QAC: February 23, 2021 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 17, 2022; QAC: February 22, 2022 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 2, 2023; QAC: February 7, 2023 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD



Effective Date: 8/28/07

Reviewed/No Updates: 11/7/11, 4/17/12, 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21,

2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

Committee Review: UM: February 8, 2024; QAC: February 27, 2024 Reviewed/Updated by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 20, 2025; QAC: February 25, 2025

Revision Date	Content Revised (Yes/No)	Contributors	Review/Revision Notes
2/9/17	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/8/18	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/14/19	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/13/20	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/11/21	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/17/22	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/2/23	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/8/24	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/20/25	Yes	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Updated
			description of
			stretching devices