

Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

# PROCEDURES, COVERAGE OF

### **Policy**

VCHCP's Contracts usually contain an exclusion of coverage for "Experimental or Investigational" procedures. The definition of "Experimental or Investigational" includes, among other things, any drug, device, procedure or treatment that requires FDA approval, but for which such approval has not yet been granted. However consistent with \$1370.4 of the Knox Keene Act, experimental or investigational procedures may be approved if:

- A. All the following criteria are satisfied:
  - the patient has a life threatening or seriously debilitating disease which is expected to cause death within one year in the absence of effective treatment
  - the usual modalities of conventional, standard treatment have been unsuccessful
  - the member's physician certifies that standard therapies have been ineffective or are not medically appropriate for the member, or that the proposed treatment is more likely to be effective than standard treatments.
  - the proposed treatment is promising and likely to be effective for the patient. A
    promising treatment is one that has shown effectiveness as supported in credible
    peer reviewed literature or by the credible medical opinion of independent medical
    experts in the relevant specialty, designated by VCHCP.

#### -OR

- B. The member is to be treated as part of a clinical trial satisfying all the following criteria:
  - the investigational drug, device, therapy or procedure is under current review by the FDA and has been determined to be safe for human use
  - the clinical trial has been approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) that will oversee the investigation
  - there is credible evidence in the peer-reviewed medical literature showing benefit from the proposed treatment
  - the clinical trial is sponsored by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) or similar national cooperative body and conforms to the rigorous independent oversight criteria as defined by the NCI for the performance of clinical trials and must be preapproved by the Plan.
  - All pre-approved services are subject to co-payments.



Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

#### **Procedure**

Requests for experimental/investigational treatments must be submitted to UR as a Treatment Authorization Request (TAR). The TAR must be approved by the member's Plan PCP or specialist and must be accompanied by at least 2 supporting peer reviewed documents for medical and scientific evidence.

Medical and scientific evidence may be from-the following sources:

- A. Peer-reviewed scientific studies published in or accepted for publication by medical journals that meet nationally recognized requirements for scientific manuscripts and that submit most of their published articles for review by experts who are not part of the editorial staff.
- B. Peer-reviewed literature, biomedical compendia and other medical literature that meet the criteria of the National Institutes of Health's National Library of Medicine for indexing in index Medicus, Excerpta Medicus (EMBASE), Medline, or MEDLARS database Health Services Technology Assessment Research (STAR).
- C. Medical journals recognized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, under Section 1861(t)(2) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x).
- D. The following standard reference compendia:
  - The American Hospital Formulary Service-Drug Information
  - > The American Medical Association Drug Evaluations
  - The American Dental Association Accepted Dental Therapeutics
  - The United States Pharmacopoeia Drug Information
- E. Findings, studies or research conducted by or under the auspices of federal government agencies and nationally recognized federal research institutes including the:
  - Federal Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
  - National Institutes of Health
  - National Cancer Institute
  - National Academy of Sciences
  - Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)



Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

- Any national board recognized by the National Institutes of Health for the purpose of evaluating the medical value of health services.
- F. Peer-reviewed abstracts accepted for presentation at major medical association meetings.

#### **Appeals Process**

If the criteria listed above are not judged by the Plan Medical Director to be satisfied, the member will in all cases be informed of the right to request an independent medical review and will be assisted in this process. Notification will be accomplished within 5 days of the denial. Such an appeal will be expedited when required by the member's medical condition. The following information will be supplied to the enrollee:

- A. a statement setting forth the specific medical and scientific reasons for denying coverage
- B. a description of alternative treatment, services, or supplies covered by the Plan, if any
- C. copies of the Plan's grievance procedures or complaint form and
- D. an opportunity for the enrollee to request a conference within 30 calendar days or within 5 business days if the treating participating physician determines, after consultation with the Plan Medical Director or designee, based on standard medical practice, that the effectiveness of either the proposed treatment, services, or supplies or any alternative treatment, services, or supplies covered by the Plan would be materially reduced if not provided at the earliest possible date. The enrollee will have the opportunity to attend this conference conducted by a Plan representative having authority to determine the disposition of the complaint to review the information provided to the enrollee regarding the denial. The Plan allows attendance, in person or via teleconference, at the meeting, by an enrollee, a designee of the enrollee, or both, or, if the enrollee is a minor or incompetent, the parent, guardian, or conservator of the enrollee, as appropriate.

Attachments: None

#### **History:**

Reviewers/Authors: Richard O. Ashby MD, John Prichard MD,

Committee Review: QAC: November 8, 2001

Reviewers/Revised: David Chernof, MD; Date: 07/01/04

Committee Review: QAC: November 16, 2004

Reviewed/Revised: Cynthia Wilhelmy, MD; Date: 07/31/06

Committee Reviews: UM: August 7, 2006; QAC: August 22, 2006



Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

Reviewed/Revised: Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 11/1/11

Committee Reviews: UM: November 10, 2011; QAC: November 22, 2011

Reviewed/No Updates: Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 4/17/12 Committee Reviews: UM: May 10, 2012; QAC: May 22, 2012 Reviewed/No Updates: Albert Reeves, MD; Date: 1/28/13

Committee Reviews: UM: February 14, 2013; QAC: February 26, 2013

Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD

Committee Reviews: UM: February 13, 2014; QAC: February 25, 2014

Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD

Committee Review: UM: February 12, 2015; QAC: February 24, 2015 Reviewed/No Updates: Faustine Dela Cruz, RN & Catherine Sanders, MD Committee Review: UM: February 11, 2016; QAC: February 23, 2016 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling Committee Review: UM: February 9, 2017; QAC: February 28, 2017 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling Committee Review: UM: February 8, 2018; QAC: February 27, 2018 Reviewed/No Updates: Catherine Sanders, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 14, 2019; QAC: February 26, 2019 Reviewed/No Updates by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 13, 2020; QAC: February 25, 2020 Reviewed/No Updates: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 11, 2021; QAC: February 23, 2021 Reviewed/No Updates by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 17, 2022; QAC: February 22, 2022 Reviewed/No Updates by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 2, 2023; QAC: February 7, 2023 Reviewed/No Updates by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 8, 2024; QAC: February 27, 2024

Reviewed/No Updates by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, M Committee Review: UM: February 8, 2024; QAC: February 27, 2024 Reviewed/Updated by: Howard Taekman, MD & Robert Sterling, MD Committee Review: UM: February 20, 2025; QAC: February 25, 2025

Revision Date	Content Revised (Yes/No)	Contributors	Review/Revision Notes
2/9/17	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/8/18	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/14/19	No	Catherine Sanders, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/13/20	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/11/21	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review



Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

2/17/22	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/2/23	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/8/24	No	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Annual Review
2/20/25	Yes	Howard Taekman, MD; Robert Sterling, MD	Grammar changes. Added "or via teleconference, at the meeting" to what the Plan allows in attendance

#### A. References:

- 1. Medical devices; investigational device exemptions-- FDA. Final rule. Fed Regist 1998 Nov 23;63(225):64617-26
- 2. Fratantoni JC. The IND: what is it and who needs it? Investigational New Drug. Transfusion 1999 Apr;39(4):341-2
- 3. Grant KL. Investigational drug tracking: Phases I-III and NDA submissions-- Part I. Hosp Pharm 1994 Sep;29(9):830-6, 839-44, 847-52 passim
- 4. Grant KL. Investigational drug tracking: phases I-III and NDA submissions-- Part II. Hosp Pharm 1994 Oct;29(10):900, 902-4, 906-11 passim
- 5. Pritchard WF Jr, Abel DB, Karanian JW. The US Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemptions and clinical investigation of cardiovascular devices: information for the investigator. J Vasc Interv Radiol 1999 Feb;10(2 Pt 1):115-22
- 6. Goodman C. Roundtable discussion. Investigational exclusion, clinical trials, and cancer. Oncology (Huntingt) 1998 Nov;12(11A):37-49
- 7. Paterniti JR Jr. Investigational new drug applications: the role of the preclinical dossier. Am J Cardiol 1998 Apr 23;81(8A):10F-12F
- 8. Priester R, Vawter DE, Gervais KG. Investigational treatments: process, payment, and priorities. JAMA 1997 Nov 5;278(17):1403-4
- 9. Meador KJ. Investigational treatments: process, payment, and priorities. JAMA 1997 Nov 5;278(17):1403; discussion 1404
- 10. Goldschmidt PG, Monaco GP. Investigational treatments: process, payment, and priorities. JAMA 1997 Nov 5;278(17):1402-3; discussion 1404
- 11. Burt RA. Investigational treatments: process, payment, and priorities. JAMA 1997 Nov 5;278(17):1402; discussion 1404
- 12. Shulman SR, Manocchia M. The US orphan drug programme 1983-1995. Pharmacoeconomics 1997 Sep;12(3):312-26



Effective: 11/8/2001

Revised: 7/1/04, 7/31/06, 11/1/11, 4/17/12

Reviewed/No Updates: 1/28/13, 2/13/14, 2/12/15, 2/11/16, 2/9/17, 2/8/18, 2/14/19, 2/13/20, 2/11/21, 2/17/22, 2/2/23, 2/8/24, 2/20/25

- 13. Laskey WK. Investigational intervention: revisit the paradigm or make a new one? Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn 1997 Aug;41(4):469-70
- 14. Eddy DM. Investigational treatments. How strict should we be? JAMA 1997 Jul 16;278(3):179-85
- 15. Investigational new drug application; exception from informed consent; technical amendment-- FDA. Final rule. Fed Regist 1997 Jun 16;62(115):32479
- 16. Beebe DB, Rosenfeld AB, Collins N. An approach to decisions about coverage of investigational treatments. HMO Pract 1997 Jun;11(2):65-7